

(b)(6)

---

**From:** (b)(6)  
**Sent:** Monday, September 9, 2019 11:33 AM  
**To:** neil.jacobs@noaa.gov; julie.roberts@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** Recent Directive to NWS Personnel Regarding Hurricane Dorian projected track

"It was nothing against Birmingham, we needed to make sure forecast products reflect probabilistic guidance," said the official. Really, because that exactly what it looks like to any sensible person.

I have always been a strong supporter of NOAA and have used its products and met many of its highly talented devoted personnel. But through this incredibly stupid directive you managed to alienate many hard working and honest NWS personnel, call into question the non-partisan nature of NOAA and appear to be protecting the juvenile effort by the President (magic marker extension of the "cone of uncertainty"? - you must be kidding) to justify his reckless statement about the probability of Dorian hitting Alabama (after all Trump LOVES Alabama, right). And we're supposed to believe you are non-partisan - an ACTING Director and a former staffer of Trump campaign? What you've just done is prove the maxim that Trump destroys everything he touches. NOAA's credibility.

Kenneth A. Dierks  
Asheville, NC