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Management Directive 715
Overview
Management Directive 715 (MD-715) Model Workplace Plan

Purpose:

To provide a framework for the Agency to:

– Assess the current state of equal opportunity and diversity management

– Define and publish a plan for the Agency to achieve a “Model Workplace” status

Governing Statues and Authorities:

• Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
• Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
• 29 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R) Part 1614
• Various Executive Orders
• EEOC’s MD-715
• EEOC’s MD-110
Six Essential Elements of a Model Workplace

1. Demonstrated commitment from Agency leadership
2. Integration of EEO into the Agency's strategic mission
3. Management and program accountability
4. Proactive prevention of unlawful discrimination
5. Efficiency
6. Responsiveness and legal compliance
Model Workplace Assessment & Scorecard
## FY19 Model Workplace Scorecard

### FY19 MD-715 Overall Compliance: 97%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Checklist Category</th>
<th>FY 2018 (88%)</th>
<th>FY 2019 (97%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Demonstrated Commitment from Agency Leadership</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Integration of EEO into Agency's Strategic Mission</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Management and Program Accountability</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Proactive Prevention</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Responsiveness and Legal Compliance</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY**

- 0-74
- 75-89
- 90-100

- There are a total of 7 deficiencies and 7 Part H Plans contained within the Agency’s FY19 MD-715.

**Note:** There are 18 questions not applicable to NOAA; managed by DOC.
The following measures were **not** met in FY 2019:

1. **Management and Program Accountability**
   - The Agency does not regularly assess: 1) its component and field offices for possible EEO program deficiencies, 2) their efforts to remove barriers from the workplace, and 3) comply with recommendations.
   - All reasonable accommodation requests are not processed within the timeframe set forth in the reasonable accommodation procedures.
   - The EEO Office does not have timely access to accurate and complete external and internal applicant flow data to prepare all MD-715 workforce data tables and complete the barrier analysis process.
   - Anti-harassment training materials do not include examples of disability-based harassment.

2. **Efficiency**
   - The Agency does not incorporate the results of recruitment efforts in EEO Program updates to senior leaders.

*The slide captures all of the deficiencies. Note: Measurement # 1--I combined 3 into 1 sentence. This also shows in the report (Part H).*
Workforce Analysis
## Key Terms and Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLF</strong></td>
<td>Civilian Labor Force (CLF): U.S. citizens, persons 16 years of age and over (except those in the armed forces), and non-felons who are employed or are unemployed and seeking work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCLF</strong></td>
<td>Occupational Civilian Labor Force: Reflects civilian labor force by specific occupation/career series.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decrease from 2010</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increase from 2010</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acceptable decline. This is mainly used for white males because they are already exceeding the CLF.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Above NOAA total Workforce or CLF</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Below NOAA total Workforce or CLF</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decrease vs 2010</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increase vs 2010</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The representation of Hispanics, White Females, African Americans, American Indian or Alaska Natives and Two or More Races in NOAA's workforce was below their representation in the CLF.

Total FY10 Workforce: 11,723

* 0 = 100% CLF

(Data collected from HR Connect Data Insight Reporting System - Table A1 – Data as of 12/21/2019)
NOAA Total Workforce Distribution vs CLF “NOW” FY2019

Total FY19 Workforce: 11,328
The representation of Hispanics, White Females, African Americans, American Indian or Alaska Natives and Two or More Races in NOAA’s workforce remains below their representation in the CLF with minimal changes.

(Data collected from HR Connect Data Insight Reporting System - Table A1 – Data as of 12/21/2019)
NOAA Total Workforce by Gender

“THEN” vs “NOW”

From FY10 (THEN) to FY19 (NOW) there was a 1.2% decrease in males and a 1.2% increase in females.

(Data collected from HR Connect Data Insight Reporting System - Table A1 – Data as of 12/21/2019)
In FY10, the representation of Females in Senior Leadership positions was 5.74% below their overall representation in the NOAA workforce.

In FY19, the representation of Females in Senior Leadership positions is 2.85% below their overall representation in the NOAA workforce.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NOAA Male</th>
<th>NOAA Female</th>
<th>Senior Leadership Male</th>
<th>Senior Leadership Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY10</td>
<td>67.24%</td>
<td>32.76%</td>
<td>72.98%</td>
<td>27.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY19</td>
<td>66.04%</td>
<td>33.96%</td>
<td>68.89%</td>
<td>31.11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GS-15/Band 5 = 65.05% SESs = 7.90%
GS-15/Band 5 = 60.90% SESs = 7.99%
GS-15/Band 5 = 28.95% SESs = 2.16%

- **Male**
- **Female**

= Decrease from 2010

= Increase from 2010

= Acceptable decline

(Total workforce data collected from HR Data Insight Reporting System - Table A1 - Data as of 12/21/19
Grade data collected from National Finance Center (NFC) Reporting System Pay Plan and Grade Distribution Table FY19)
FY19 NOAA Workforce Distribution by Staff Offices, UNSEC & Line Offices

**FY19 NOAA Staff Offices & UNSEC - Total Participation: 969**

With the exception of African American Females, the representation of minorities and White Males in most NOAA Staff Offices & UNSEC is below the CLF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hispanic Males</th>
<th>Hispanic Females</th>
<th>White Males</th>
<th>White Females</th>
<th>Black or African American Males</th>
<th>Black or African American Females</th>
<th>Asian Males</th>
<th>Asian Females</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Males</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Females</th>
<th>American Indian or Alaska Native Males</th>
<th>American Indian or Alaska Native Females</th>
<th>Two or More Races Males</th>
<th>Two or More Races Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGO</td>
<td>1.94%</td>
<td>4.37%</td>
<td>26.70%</td>
<td>33.98%</td>
<td>7.77%</td>
<td>18.45%</td>
<td>1.94%</td>
<td>2.91%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.97%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.49%</td>
<td>0.49%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCAO</td>
<td>3.48%</td>
<td>0.87%</td>
<td>32.17%</td>
<td>21.74%</td>
<td>10.43%</td>
<td>22.61%</td>
<td>1.74%</td>
<td>5.22%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.87%</td>
<td>0.87%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCFI</td>
<td>1.07%</td>
<td>3.21%</td>
<td>15.51%</td>
<td>36.90%</td>
<td>4.81%</td>
<td>16.58%</td>
<td>4.28%</td>
<td>16.04%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCIO</td>
<td>4.63%</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
<td>41.67%</td>
<td>12.96%</td>
<td>6.48%</td>
<td>16.67%</td>
<td>7.41%</td>
<td>6.48%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFMO</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>6.78%</td>
<td>12.71%</td>
<td>23.73%</td>
<td>10.17%</td>
<td>36.44%</td>
<td>1.69%</td>
<td>1.69%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1.69%</td>
<td>0.85%</td>
<td>4.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNSEC&amp;OICR</td>
<td>0.43%</td>
<td>2.55%</td>
<td>37.02%</td>
<td>38.30%</td>
<td>4.26%</td>
<td>14.89%</td>
<td>0.43%</td>
<td>1.28%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.43%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLF</td>
<td>5.17%</td>
<td>4.79%</td>
<td>38.33%</td>
<td>34.03%</td>
<td>5.49%</td>
<td>6.53%</td>
<td>1.97%</td>
<td>1.93%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.55%</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY19 NOAA Workforce by Line Offices - Total Participation: 10,169**

With the exception of White Males, Asian Males and Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islanders, the representation of minorities in most NOAA Line Offices is below the CLF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hispanic Males</th>
<th>Hispanic Females</th>
<th>White Males</th>
<th>White Females</th>
<th>Black or African American Males</th>
<th>Black or African American Females</th>
<th>Asian Males</th>
<th>Asian Females</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Males</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Females</th>
<th>American Indian or Alaska Native Males</th>
<th>American Indian or Alaska Native Females</th>
<th>Two or More Races Males</th>
<th>Two or More Races Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMFS</td>
<td>1.84%</td>
<td>1.38%</td>
<td>47.77%</td>
<td>35.82%</td>
<td>1.99%</td>
<td>2.80%</td>
<td>3.37%</td>
<td>3.19%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
<td>0.21%</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWS</td>
<td>2.53%</td>
<td>1.27%</td>
<td>70.23%</td>
<td>16.29%</td>
<td>2.14%</td>
<td>2.32%</td>
<td>2.83%</td>
<td>1.15%</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
<td>0.51%</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMAO</td>
<td>3.48%</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
<td>59.87%</td>
<td>12.77%</td>
<td>12.94%</td>
<td>3.15%</td>
<td>3.15%</td>
<td>0.83%</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>1.00%</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOS</td>
<td>1.24%</td>
<td>0.76%</td>
<td>50.86%</td>
<td>28.95%</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>6.57%</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
<td>2.29%</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>0.48%</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESDIS</td>
<td>2.13%</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
<td>50.43%</td>
<td>18.75%</td>
<td>6.96%</td>
<td>10.94%</td>
<td>5.82%</td>
<td>2.84%</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAR</td>
<td>2.48%</td>
<td>2.64%</td>
<td>52.09%</td>
<td>26.98%</td>
<td>2.33%</td>
<td>4.65%</td>
<td>4.81%</td>
<td>2.17%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLF</td>
<td>5.17%</td>
<td>4.79%</td>
<td>38.33%</td>
<td>34.03%</td>
<td>5.49%</td>
<td>6.53%</td>
<td>1.97%</td>
<td>1.93%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.55%</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

= Above the CLF  
= Below the CLF

(Staff Office Data collected from HR Connect Workforce Analytics Reporting System - Table A2P
OICR combined with UNSEC because total staff assigned is less than 15
Line Office Data collected from HR Connect Data Insight Reporting System - Table A2 Level 2 Breakout Permanent)
With the exception of job series 0343, the representation of Females is below the OCLF in NOAA’s remaining major occupations (job series 0482, 1301, 1340 and 2210).
Multi-Generations at NOAA

Tradionals
Born 1945 and Earlier
0.74% (83)

Baby Boomers
Born 1946-1964
33.27% (3,756)

Generation X
Born 1965-1979
44.70% (5,045)

Millennials
Born 1980-1995
20.77% (2,344)

Generation Z
Born 1996 and later
0.52% (59)

11,288*

*Data provided by OHCS.
NOAA Workforce Distribution by Disability

- 10.04% of the workforce is comprised of **Individuals with Disabilities**, 1.96% below the 12% federal goal established by the EEOC and a 2% decrease in comparison with FY18.
- 2.51% of the workforce is comprised of **Individuals with Targeted Disabilities**, 0.51% above the 2% federal goal established by the EEOC.

(Data collected from HR Connect Workforce Analytics Reporting System - Table B2 All)
The hiring rate for Females is 46.37%, 1.77% lower than the CLF (48.14%) and 11.50% higher than FY18’s hiring rate of 34.87%.

The hiring rate for Females is 7.26% lower than the hiring rate for Males (In FY18, Female new hires 46.37% vs Male new hires 53.63%)

The separation rate for Females is 34.48%, 0.60% higher than their total representation of 33.88% and 11.89% lower than their hiring rate.

Projected FY20 Separations: 355 and 270 of those are due to retirements.

(Data collected from HR Connect Data Insight Reporting System - Tables A8 and A14 and Future Separations Report)
State of the Agency Major Highlights
## State of the Agency Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accomplishments</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The OHCS updated the current exit interview/survey to include language related to the recruitment, hiring, inclusion, retention and advancement of individuals with disabilities.</td>
<td>• Lack of organizational values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EEO &amp; Diversity Program Offices sponsored EEO-related workshops, onsite and via webinar, on topics including: Emotional Intelligence, Generational Differences, Conflict Resolution, Uncomfortable Conversations in a Diverse Workforce, EEO Compliance, and the No FEAR Act Training.</td>
<td>• All reasonable accommodation requests are not processed within the timeframe set forth in agency policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The participation rate of NOAA employees with targeted disabilities is 2.49%, which is above the EEOC Federal Goal of 2.00%.</td>
<td>• Increase application and participation of Hispanic employees and the absence of People with Targeted Disabilities in the Leadership Competencies Development Program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• OICR established various Employee Resource Groups to assist with affirmative employment, D&amp;I efforts and employee engagement.</td>
<td>• Multiple inconsistencies with the MD-715 Tables preventing the Agency to conduct an effective barrier analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• OICR’s Hispanic Employment Program developed a monthly leadership remote training series as a career development resource for employees and to help retain and promote Hispanics/Latinos at NOAA.</td>
<td>• Low participation of woman in senior leadership positions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The agency’s overall compliance with the MD-715 increase by 10% from FY18 (88%) to FY19 (97%).</td>
<td>• No training materials in the anti-harassment program that include examples of disability-based harassment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No settlements in the initial 90 days of the ADR Process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Diversity and Inclusion Maturity Model
DOC D&I Program Opportunities

Adapted from Korn Ferry D&I Maturity Model
## Diversity and Inclusion Framework Matrix
### Options for a Holistic D&I Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diversity &amp; Inclusion Framework Components</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Compliant/ Aware (Bronze)</th>
<th>Strategic/ Operational (Silver)</th>
<th>Integrated (Gold)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workforce Diversity</strong></td>
<td>People</td>
<td>- Focused on demographics&lt;br&gt;- Complying with mandatory reporting</td>
<td>- Ensure data driven D&amp;I decisions&lt;br&gt;- Collaborate with HR on workforce planning and conduct targeted outreach</td>
<td>- Workforce diversity outcomes regularly evaluated for ROI impact agency-wide&lt;br&gt;- Diversity is broadened to include cognitive, organizational and functional aspects (even global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workplace Inclusion</strong></td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>- Hosting Special Emphasis Events&lt;br&gt;- A few leaders are involved in D&amp;I activities&lt;br&gt;- Email communications to increase awareness of D&amp;I programs and events</td>
<td>- Capitalize on events to communicate D&amp;I goals and objectives&lt;br&gt;- Leaders communicate D&amp;I goals and establish accountability in performance plans&lt;br&gt;- Comprehensive D&amp;I education program&lt;br&gt;- Coordinated events with ERGs and affinity groups</td>
<td>- Leaders are maximizing organizational performance through inclusive practices&lt;br&gt;- D&amp;I drives innovation and process improvement&lt;br&gt;- ERGs and Affinity Groups integrated into agency mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agency Mission</strong></td>
<td>Business</td>
<td>- No clearly established D&amp;I business case&lt;br&gt;- Resources as needed&lt;br&gt;- Minimum staff and budget</td>
<td>- Established business case for D&amp;I&lt;br&gt;- Operationalizing D&amp;I strategic plan goals and strategies&lt;br&gt;- Additional resources allocated and distributed throughout the organization</td>
<td>- Agency Head is leading the charge for D&amp;I&lt;br&gt;- Agency Directors, Secretaries, and Deputy Directors are key D&amp;I change agents in integrating D&amp;I into all aspects of the organization&lt;br&gt;- D&amp;I is a mission critical imperative and leveraged to meet current and future HR needs&lt;br&gt;- Agency recognized as a leader in D&amp;I in the public sector&lt;br&gt;- Dedicated resources are an agency priority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Korn Ferry D&I Maturity Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage 1: Foundation</th>
<th>Stage 2: Awareness</th>
<th>Stage 3: Talent Strategy</th>
<th>Stage 4: Operational Strategy</th>
<th>Stage 5: Market And Sales Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Driven</td>
<td>Values Driven</td>
<td>Integration</td>
<td>Integration</td>
<td>Integration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Premise
- **Based on representation:** quotas/narrow target goals
- **Based on moral imperative:** “The right thing to do”
- D&I recognized for generating high performing work teams
- D&I seen as enabler to broad business strategy
- D&I seen as enhancing marketplace competitive advantage

### Definition of Diversity/Diversity Focus
- Narrow definition
- Broad definition
- Defined by intersectionality
- Definition broadened to include operational disciplines
- Definition broadened to include concepts in marketing and sales disciplines

### Responsibility
- Compliance department
- Human Resources
- CDO and HR
- Broadened to include operational process owners
- Executives and senior leaders

### Leadership Involvement
- No or little leadership involvement or commitment
- Leaders accept some responsibility for D&I
- Leaders have D&I goals and accountability
- D&I seen as an essential leadership competency
- Line leaders are key D&I change agents

### Business Case
- None
- Awareness that diverse talent may be a benefit to organization
- Business case for D&I clearly articulated
- D&I seen as asset and catalyst for innovation
- D&I enhances business growth and profitability

### Measurement Strategy
- None or based solely on litigation avoidance.
- Metrics based on corporate values indices or existing talent engagement processes
- Metrics capture talent growth and learning and key talent lifecycle processes
- Metrics focus on culture change and leadership impact
- D&I outcomes regularly evaluated for ROI impact across all business lines

### Resources/Staffing
- No or few dedicated resources
- Dedicated staff and budget
- Additional resources distributed throughout HR
- Additional resources distributed throughout operational functions
- Additional resources distributed throughout marketing, R&D, and customer service functions

### Approach
- Reactive approach
- Inspired approach
- Visionary approach
- Operational approach
- Sustainable approach
Diversity and Inclusion Maturity Model

- Compliant
  - Mandate Driven
- Aware
  - Values Driven
- Strategic
  - Business Case Driven
- Operational
  - Internal Operations Driven
- Integrated
  - Mission Driven

Adapted from Korn Ferry D&I Maturity Model
OICR Diversity and Inclusion Metric
FY19 NOAA Office of Inclusion and Civil Rights
Equal Employment Opportunity / Affirmative Employment Program/
Diversity & Inclusion Diagnostic

Agency Overall Rating: 69%* 1%

Previous Years Overall Rating: FY18: 70%
FY17: 62%
FY16: 42%

= No Changes vs. FY18
= Positive Difference vs. FY18
= Negative Difference vs. FY18

*See next slide for categories breakdown.
## FY19 NOAA Office of Inclusion and Civil Rights
### Equal Employment Opportunity / Affirmative Employment Program / Diversity & Inclusion Diagnostic

### Breakdown (Part 1 of 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Progress Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AGENCY OVERALL</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEO Complaints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Quality of Reports</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Timely Processing Complaints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Timely Counselor Reports Submission to DOC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- EEO Compliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alternative Dispute Resolution</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Aggrieved Accepted</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Conducted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Resolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affirmative Employment Program</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Management Directive 715 Compliance</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptable 97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- RA Approval Rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Special Emphasis Program (SEP) Analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SEP Observances Conducted</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SEP Observances Satisfaction</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Needs Improvement 90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Unsatisfactory**
- **Needs Improvement**
- **Acceptable**

- **Updated on: April 29, 2020**

*= No Changes vs. FY18

**= Positive Difference vs. FY18

**= Negative Difference vs. FY18
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Progress Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diversity and Inclusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Support for Diversity</td>
<td>0% 68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Workforce Racial Demographic Diversity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Workforce Gender Demographic Diversity</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Leadership Racial Demographic Diversity</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Leadership Gender Demographic Diversity</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FEVS Inclusion Index</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fair (overall)</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Open (overall)</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cooperative (overall)</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supportive (overall)</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Empowered (overall)</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No Changes vs. FY18
- Positive Difference vs. FY18
- Negative Difference vs. FY18

Updated on: April 29, 2020
Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey Inclusion Index
Diversity and Inclusion Implementation Plan
Implementation Plan

• 3.3.1: Develop succession planning and Knowledge Transfer Retention (KTR) tools that can be used universally across the agency

• 3.3.2: Provide training for managers on succession planning and KTR processes

NOTE: NOAA FY2017-2019 D&I Strategic Plan was closed out September 30, 2019. OICR assembled a workgroup to develop a new Plan. The new Plan is in the final stages of review.
D&I Improvements/Accomplishments

- Completed the 2017-2019 D&I Implementation Plan
- Established new team to develop D&I Strategic Plan
- Raised FEVS Support for Diversity Score Each of the Past Three Years
- Raised FEVS Inclusion Index Scores Each of the Past Three Years
- Established 10 new Employee Resource Groups (ERGs) representing Minorities, Women, and People with Disabilities
- 2nd Year Chosen as a Top 20 Supporter of Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU)
- Recognized as a top 50 STEM supporter for American Indian Science and Engineering Society and as an Employer of Choice
- Received an Agency award for support of National IMAGE Inc. (Affinity group organization which serves Hispanic federal employees)
Next Steps
Next Steps

Increase genuine participation in the ADR Process.

Increase timely processing of reasonable accommodation request.

LCDP needs to be marketed to encourage Hispanics and IWTD to apply to the program.

Increase representation of women in the total workforce and senior leadership positions.

Conduct regular assessments of LO/SOs for possible deficiencies and develop strategies to remove identified barriers.

Conduct barrier analyses relating to identified triggers for:
- Hispanics/Latinos, particularly in job series 0482 and 2210
- Women in the workforce and in leadership positions.
- Individuals with Disabilities/Targeted Disabilities in mission critical occupations, career development programs, and incentive awards.
- African American Females in job series 0482 and 1340.
- Asian Females in job series 0432 and 1301.

Reasonable Accommodation Coordinators will develop and conduct webinars for employees to inform them of the interactive process.

*Next steps list is not in order of priority.*